

Eggo 11

Monday, July 10

192 DAYS APST

174 TO COME

X-Ray Photographs as Evidence.

In an action for personal injuries the physician who attended the plaintiff after his injuries, was present when an X-ray photograph of the injured limb was taken, saw the negative before it was delivered to the photographer to be developed, and identified the exhibit as the impression on the glass which he saw when it was taken. It was held that this testimony sufficiently proved the accuracy of the photograph to justify its admission in evidence, the physician having practised for 15 years, though without experience in taking X-ray photographs.—*Prescott & N. W. R. Co. vs. Franks, Arkansas Supreme Court, 163 S. W. 180.*

Weather

Temp.

Very hot & dry
We washed. Girls at
Engenes picking wild
berries. Maude Mc & Grace
stopped here on their way
up to the farm. had
another skip of leas
John started cutting
hay & finished drawing manure